Gravitational Wave Astronomy

Bruce Allen Albert Einstein Institute Hannover, Germany

Sakharov meeting, 22.5.2009

My last Sakharov meeting (1996)



- Title The Stochastic Gravity Wave Background: Sources and Detection
- At that time, large-scale gravitational wave detectors were 'under construction'
- A lot has happened in the past 13 years, but have not yet made a direct gravitational wave detection
- In 13 MORE years (2022) many things I discuss today will be complete
- My new home (since January 2007)



Albert Einstein Institute, Hannover

What are gravitational waves?

- Predicted by general relativity, Einstein, 1915-7
- Consequence of 'nothing can go faster than light'
- Very weak, except for very compact massive objects undergoing large accelerations

$$L = \frac{G}{5c^5} \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} Q_{ab}\right) \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} Q_{ab}\right)$$

 Effect: differential acceleration in freely-falling test masses







A "new window" on the universe



- Get information about the very early universe (10⁻²² to 10⁻¹⁴ s)
- Testing strong-field gravity and dynamic gravity near black holes and compact objects

- Population studies and stellar evolution
- Neutron star structure (eccentricity, glitches)
- Supernova mechanisms
- Origins of gamma-ray bursts
- The unexpected ...

Detector Development History

- 1918: Einstein, too weak to detect
- late 1960s: Weber, bar detector, U.
 Maryland
- 1970s: Second generation (cryogenic) bars at LSU, Stanford, Rome, Maryland
- 1970s: first 'detection' in PSR B1913+16 (Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar)
- 1980s: First generation of 'broadband' laser interferometer detectors at MIT, Caltech, Glasgow, Hughes
- 1990s: Development LIGO (USA), VIRGO (France/Italy), GEO-600 (UK/ Germany), TAMA (Japan), Dulkyn (Russia)
- 2005-7: LIGO + VIRGO completed first joint science run







How do laser interferometers work?

- Lasers measure distance to test-mass mirrors
- Differential motion cases shift in fringe pattern
- Detected via error signal in locked loop



Most sensitive detectors













Laser Interferometer Space Antenna

- LISA joint NASA/ESA mission
- Launch 2020
- 3 spacecraft,
 5 Gm arms
- Sensitive band 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻¹ Hz





Toward Direct Detection



Data sharing



- LSC (LIGO Scientific Collaboration) has a datasharing agreement with VIRGO and GEO600.
- improved sensitivity for weak signals
- detection confidence
- position
 reconstruction
 (triangulation)
- waveform reconstruction



LIGO S5 (completed October 2007)

- Gravitational-wave stochastic background Ω(100 Hz) < 5 x 10⁻⁵
- Gravitational wave energyloss from Crab pulsar < 10% electromagnetic energy-loss
- Gravitational waves from gamma-ray burst 070201: if in Andromeda, not due to coalescing NS/NS or NS/BH
- Upper limits on rates of binary inspiral







Current status (LISA)



- History/Evolution of super massive black hole binaries (to z=20!)
- Normal stars falling into massive black holes
- Completely characterize compact binary population of our Galaxy
- Measure cosmological parameters
- Test GR in strong field limit
- 10⁵ + 10⁶ binary merger at z=1 has amplitude SNR=3000





LISA Pathfinder



Take one LISA
 Geodesic Doppler
 Link





Pulsar timing



- NANOgrav, Parkes Pulsar Timing Array (PPTA), European Pulsar Timing Array (EPTA). In future: IPTA
- Typical goal: 20 pulsars, Δt < 100 ns over 10 years
- "Given sufficient telescope time ... expect to make a GW detection within the next five years." (NANOgrav decadal survey white paper)
- Broad-band observations to model/remove ISM dispersion





Right ascension [hours]

Anholm, Ballmer, Creighton, Price, Siemens, arXiv:0809.0701v2 [gr-qc]

16

Pulsar timing: no SBBH in 3C66B

- Proposed SBBH had period ~ 1 year and total mass
 - $5.4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$
- Ruled out based on 7 years of pulsar timing data

B1855+09 (Jenet, Lommen, Larson, & Wen (2004), ApJ 606:799)







Pulsar timing sources

- Massive black hole mergers (B0402+769, 7pc)
- Resulting stochastic background
- Other possible sources include cosmic string networks, other sources of stochastic background
- ~ 500 hours/month of time required on 100m equivalent telescope



Detection needs waveforms



- Recent advances in numerical relativity now allow strong-field gravity regime to be accurately modeled
- Many new results: UK: Cambridge, Southampton. Ireland: Cork. Germany: AEI, Jena. Japan: Osaka. Canada: UBC, Guelph/ Perimeter, CITA. USA: Caltech/Cornell, Princeton, Chicago, Maryland, Oakland, RIT, UT Austin, LSU, Goddard, Penn State, Georgia Tech, U. Illinois - Urbana, FAU,...
- Active research group 'NINJA' working on incorporation of the latest numerical results and catalogs into the data analysis for gravitational wave searches.
- Following simulation shows 16 orbits of two non-spinning black holes, followed by coalescence and merger. Caltech/Cornell collaboration [Kidder, Lindblom, Pfeiffer, Scheel, Teukolsky, ...]





Future prospects and plans

- 2009: LIGO/VIRGO will start S6/VSR2. Sensitivity increase ~ 2 (30 Mpc for NS/NS inspiral), event rate increase ~ 10
- 2011: Planck CMB polarization data (no time in this talk for this topic!)
- 2014: Advanced LIGO, Advanced VIRGO. Sensitivity increase ~5 (150 Mpc), rate increase ~100
- 2015: Large Cryogenic Gravitational Telescope (Japan)
- 2015: Pulsar timing arrays
- 2020: LISA
- 2025: Einstein Telescope. Sensitivity increase ~40 (6000 Mpc, z=1), see all NS/BH inspirals in Hubble radius



